## **Natural Resources Conservation Service**

# **Application Ranking Summary**

## Lower Arkansas - Soil Management

Program:	Ranking Date:	Application Number:
Ranking Tool: Lower Arkansas - Soil Management		Applicant:
Final Ranking Score:		Address:
Planner:		Telephone:
Farm Location:		

### **National Priorities Addressed**

Issue Questions	
1. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable reduction of non-point source pollution, such as nutrients, sediment, pesticides, excess salinity in impaired watersheds with total maximum daily loads (TMDLs) where available, groundwater contamination or point sources such as contamination from confined animal feeding operations?	
2. Will the treatment you intend to implement for water conservation or irrigation efficiency using EQIP result in a considerable reduction in water use?	
3. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable reduction of emissions, such as particulate matter, nitrogen oxides (NOx), volatile organic compounds, and ozone precursors and depleters that contribute to air quality impairment violations of National Ambient Air Quality Standards?	
4. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable reduction in soil erosion and sedimentation from unacceptable levels on agricultural land?	
5. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable increase in the promotion of at-risk species habitat conservation?	
6. Will the treatment that you intend to implement using EQIP result in considerable benefits to residue management, nutrient management, air quality management, invasive species management, pollinator habitat, and animal carcass management technology or pest management?	
7. Will the treatment that you intend to implement using EQIP result in energy conservation benefits?	Yes O or No O

### **State Issues Addressed**

Issue Questions		
1. Will the project reduce the amount of nutrients/pesticides/salt/selenium or other pollutants entering ground or surface waters?		
2. Will the planned practice(s) promote water conservation on the contracted acres?		
3. Will the project address invasive and/or noxious plants on contracted acres?		
4. Will the project result in an improvement to the existing management system to meet the state AFO/CAFO regulations?		
5. Does the project increase the diversity of desirable plants on grazing lands?		
6. Does the project improve the health of riparian and/or wetland areas?		
7. Is the proposed project located within the State's NRCS wildlife priority area, and do the planned practices address the habitat needs of the targeted species designated in the wildlife priority area?		
8. Will the proposed project reduce field soil loss to below "T" or will the planned practice(s) reduce irrigation induced/streambank erosion?		
9. Does the applicant meet one or more of the following conditions: a. Did the applicant successfully complete any past EQIP contract(s) in full compliance; or b. If the applicant has an existing EQIP contract has it been, and is it now, on schedule and in full compliance? IF THIS IS THE APPLICANT'S FIRST APPLICATION, ANSWER,"Yes".		
10. Has any portion of the offered acreage been set aside or inventorired by a Cultural Resources Specialist or an Archeologist?		
11. Does the proposed project support organic transition (farming operation to be used while transitioning from conventional to organic production)?	Yes O or No O	

#### **Local Issues Addressed**

Issue Questions	Responses
1. Is there a current COMPLETE resource management conservation plan in place?	Yes O or No O
2. If application is funded, will this be the applicant's first EQIP contract for this resource issue?	Yes O or No O
3. Is the site assessment score of site specific potential erodibility for wind and sheet and rill erosion >0 but =<5?	Yes O or No O
4. Is the site assessment score of site specific potential erodibility for wind and sheet and rill erosion >5 but =<10?	Yes O or No O
5. Is the site assessment score of site specific potential erodibility for wind and sheet and rill erosion >10 but =<15	Yes O or No O
6. Is the site assessment score of site specific potential erodibility for wind and sheet and rill erosion >15 but =<20?	Yes O or No O
7. Is the site assessment score of site specific potential erodibility for wind and sheet and rill erosion >20?	Yes O or No O
8. Is the erosion reduction score for sheet and rill erosion >0 but =<5?	Yes O or No O
9. Is the erosion reduction score for sheet and rill erosion >5 but =<10?	Yes O or No O
10. Is the erosion reduction score for sheet and rill erosion >10 but =<15?	Yes O or No O
11. Is the erosion reduction score for sheet and rill erosion >15 but =<20?	Yes O or No O
12. Is the erosion reduction score for sheet and rill erosion >20?	Yes O or No O
13. Is the erosion reduction score for wind erosion >5 but =<10?	Yes O or No O
14. Is the erosion reduction score for wind erosion >10 but =<20?	Yes O or No O
15. Is the erosion reduction score for wind erosion >20 but =<35?	Yes O or No O
16. Is the erosion reduction score for wind erosion >35 but =<55?	Yes O or No O
17. Is the erosion reduction score for wind erosion >55 but =<80?	Yes O or No O
18. Is the erosion reduction score for wind erosion >80?	Yes O or No O
19. Is the erosion reduction score for concentrated flow >0 but =<3?	Yes O or No O
20. Is the erosion reduction score for concentrated flow >3 but =<5?	Yes O or No O
21. Is the erosion reduction score for concentrated flow >5 but =<10?	Yes O or No O
22. Is the erosion reduction score for concentrated flow >10 but =<20?	Yes O or No O
23. Is the erosion reduction score for concentrated flow >20 but =<30?	Yes O or No O
24. Is the erosion reduction score for concentrated >30 but =<40?	
25. Is the soil quality-carbon sequestration score >0 but =<5?	Yes O or No O Yes O or No O
26. Is the soil quality-carbon sequestration score >5 but =<10?	Yes O or No O
27. Is the soil quality-carbon sequestration score >10 but =<15?	Yes O or No O
28. Is the soil quality-carbon sequestration score >15 but =<20?	Yes O or No O
29. Is the soil quality-carbon sequestration score >20 but =<30?	Yes O or No O
30. Is the soil quality-carbon sequestration score >30 but =<40?	Yes O or No O
31. Is the soil quality-carbon sequestration score >40 but =<50?	Yes O or No O
32. Is the soil quality-carbon sequestration score >50?	Yes O or No O
33. Is the plant suitability, condition, and integrated pest management score >0 but =<5?	Yes O or No O
34. Is the plant suitability, condition, and integrated pest management score >5 but =<10?	Yes O or No O
35. Is the plant suitability, condition, and integrated pest management score >10 but =<15?	Yes O or No O
36. Is the plant suitability, condition, and integrated pest management score >15 but =<20?	Yes O or No O
37. Is the plant suitability, condition, and integrated pest management score >20?	Yes O or No O
38. Does the conservation treatment include the installation of practices that improve and enhance wildlife	Yes O or No O
habitat as a part of the overall operation of the agricultural enterprise, including invasive species control?	
39. If the contracted acreage is currently irrigated by non-tributary groundwater will the land be permanently	Yes O or No O

converted to perennial vegetation used for livestock or wildlife habitat?	
40. If the contracted acreage is currently irrigated by non-tributary groundwater will the land be converted to non-irrigated cropland AND the duration of land conversion be 5 years?	Yes O or No O
41. If the contracted acreage is currently irrigated by non-tributary groundwater will the land be converted to non-irrigated cropland AND the duration of land conversion be at least 3 years?	Yes O or No O

#### Land Use:

Resource Concerns	Practices
Ranking Score	
Efficiency:	
Local Issues:	
State Issues:	
National Issues:	
Final Ranking Score:	

This ranking report is for your information. It does not in any way guarantee funding. When funding becomes available, you will be notified if your application is selected for funding. Some changes to the application may be required before a final contract is awarded.

Notes:

	Application Signature Not Required for Contract Development unless required by State policy:
Signature Date:	Signature Date: